图 002

20 47

DACIB

# N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: RANDI LYNN SCHINDLER

ABANDONED

**RECEIVED** 

MAY 1 8 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Serial No. 09/152,992

Filed: September 14, 1998

MAIL STOP PETITION
FEE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## PETITION FOR ACCESS TO ABANDONED APPLICATION

05/17/2005 SZEWDIE1 00000023 09152992

01 FC:1464

130.00 OP

37 CFR §1.14(h)

#### **PETITION**

1. Access to the application file record of the above identified ABANDONED application, which is not within the file jacket of a pending Continuing Prosecution Application, is sought under 37 CFR §1.14(h) in view of the following special circumstances. My law firm has been sued for malpractice in connection with our representation of the inventor in litigation captioned RANDI BLACK v KLEINBERG & LERNER, LLP, et al. in the SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, Case No. BC332335, the first two pages of which are attached hereto.

€U U U ⊃

### PETITION FEE

The petition fee of \$130.00 as provided in 37 CFR §1.17(h) is enclosed herewith.

MARVIN H. KLEINBERG Reg. No.: 18,402

KLEINBERG & LERNER, LLP 2049 Century Park E., #1080 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Telephone: (310) 557 1511 Facsimile: (310) 557 1540

e-mail: mkleinberg@kleinberglerner.com

COMPLAINT

APR 2 2 2005 JOHN A. OLARKE, CLERK CIT/CASE: BCJ32335 LEA/DEFH: CCH458224049 299.50 BC332335 フーガリ Legal Malpractice Breach of Fiduciary Duty Breach of Contract

#### & Related Intellectual Property Matters."

- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant, MICHAEL HUREY ("HUREY"), was at all times mentioned herein an attorney at law, practicing in, engaged in, and properly and duly licensed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that HUREY was at all times mentioned herein employed by and/or a partner with KLEINBERG LERNER.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that HUREY, was at all times mentioned herein an attorney engaged in, and properly and duly licensed in, the practice of Patent, Trademark, Copyright, Unfair Competition & Related Intellectual Property Matters.
- 7. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is negligently responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's losses as herein alleged were proximately caused by such negligence.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that in performing the acts hereinafter alleged, Defendants, and each of them, were acting as the agents and representatives of each of the other named Defendants, and in performing said acts, the Defendants, and each of them, were operating within the scope of their real and/or apparent authority as agents, and each of the Defendants named herein ratified, approved and caused the acts of the other Defendants which are hereinafter alleged.
- 9. In or about early September 1998, Plaintiff retained Defendants, and each of them, to draft, file and prosecute a patent application on Plaintiff's behalf in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office ("PTO").
- 10. Defendants filed said patent application on Plaintiff's behalf on or about September 18, 1998 under Serial Number: 09-152,992 ("Subject Application").
  - 11. Plaintiff is the sole inventor of the invention identified in the Subject Application.
  - 12. On November 9, 1999, the PTO issued a Notice of Abandonment pertaining to the